Straw signal modeling using Garfield++ interface to LTSPICE

A. Mukhamejanova[1,2] , D. Baigarashev[1,4], V. Bautin[1], S. Bulanova[3], T. Enik[1],
Y. Kambar[1], E. Kuznetsova[3], S. Nasybulin[3], A. Paulau[1], K. Salamatin[1], D. Sosnov[3], A. Zelenov[3]

[1]JINR
[2]AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
[3]NRC «Kurchatov Institute» - PNPI
[4]L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University

II INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC SCHOOL-CONFERENCE «ATOM. SCIENCE. TECHNOLOGY»

Motivation

Straw Tube Trackers are important detectors in a number of operating and future experiments. The advantage of such trackers is the large area and small material budget. Examples of already existing trackers - ALTAS TRT[1] (straw wending technology), NA62[2] tracker (ultrasonic welding). Examples of future trackers to be build from straws produced by ultrasonic welding - SPD[3] (JINR), DUNE[4] (US), SHiP (CERN), HIKE (CERN), COMET (Japan)

In straw trackers the track coordinates are reconstructed according to the measured signal arrival time defined by the drift time of primary electrons from the track to the anode wire. At the stage of the tracker development and construction it is important to predict its performance for a given geometry, gas mixture and readout electronics

Garfield++ is an object-oriented toolkit for the detailed simulation of straw tube signals. Interfaced to a program emulating electronics circuit, for example, LTSpice, it can provides full simulation of the straw response as it will be in real experiment.

Straw Tubes

Straws are gas-filled cylindrical tubes with a conductive inner layer as cathode and an anode wire stretched along the cylinder axis.

Charged particles traversing a straw ionise the gas. The electrons drift towards the anode wire. Charge amplification occurs in the high electric field near the anode. The signal is further amplified, shaped and discriminated by read-out electronics.

Simulation

The signals obtained within Garfield**++** simulation. Then this signal was processed by LTSpice. (LTSPICE is one of the best software for analysis and design of electronic schemes. It is an easy to use, widespread, and free product with very good convergence). Once the signal is processed by LTSpice one can use it for further analysis.


a) Signal from straw tube simulated by Garfield**++**

b) LTSpice response to the signal provided by Garfield**++**

Gas gain

Microscopic gain estimation
70% Ar + 30 % CO2 , P = 1 atm, T = 298.15 K, $\varnothing_{straw}$ = 1 cm , $\varnothing_{wire}$ = 30 μm, R_{track} = 0.1 cm , B = 0 T

Microscopic gain	
Entries	10000
Mean	1.33e+04
Std Dev	1.352e+04

Microscopic gain	
Entries	10000
Mean	6316
Std Dev	6565

| Microscopic gain	
Entries	10000
Mean	2053
Std Dev	2130

Garfield++ uses 2 ways to predict gas gain when simulating electron avalanche amplification - microscopic (detailed simulation) and Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg function based (fast simulation) methods. In some gas mixtures besides the "direct ionization" atoms can also be ionized in the process when an atom or molecule of the gas is excited to a state which excitation energy is higher then ionization potential of another gas atoms, so de-excitation can cause additional ionization. Such process is known as Penning Effect[5]. Since the Penning Effect is directly related to the gas gain prediction equation, it is important to estimate its contribution to the gas gain value. These estimations has been done in the figure. The plot demonstrate the gas gain predictions obtained with the microscopic methods for Penning coefficient[6] of 0, 0.32 and 0.54. The expected value for 70%Ar+30%CO2 gas mixture is 0.54.

Gas gain

70% Ar + 30 % C02 , P = 1 atm, T = 293.15 K, $\varnothing_{straw}$ = 1 cm , $\varnothing_{wire}$ = 30 μm, R_{track} = 0.1 cm , B = 0 T

Legend:
- measurements value[7]
- Garfield++ RKF default
- Garfield Fortran
- Garfield++ Microscopic method
- Garfield++ RKF fixed method

Garfield++ gives a good prediction for the drift time for a given high voltage, however the gas gain prediction from both the microscopic and Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg (RKF) methods differ from the experimental data. Nevertheless the microscopic method allows to obtain a good prediction for gas gain fluctuation and the RKF method allows to use this distribution together with the most probable gas gain values obtained in the measurements. That allows us to provide a good prediction for both the signal shapes and the amplitudes Microscopic method allows one to describe gas gain fluctuation whereas Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg fixed method allows to use given shape, for instance the one that is obtained by microscopic method with fixed drift time mean value. We use the experimental drift time mean value and fast Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method thus this allows us to obtain signal shapes that are comparable with the real drift time mean values.

Simulation by Garfield++ after LTSpice

Garfield++ / LTSpice signals

Moment of 10 mV crossing, R_{track} = 2mm

time_distr2	
Entries	5000
Mean	42.8
Std Dev	4.356
Underflow	0
Overflow	0
χ^2 / ndf	254.5 / 25
Prob	6.993e−40
Constant	539.4 ± 10.3
Mean	42.52 ± 0.06
Sigma	3.48 ± 0.04

A combination of Garfield**++** simulation of a straw tube response interfaced to the LTSpice electronics simulation package allows efficient optimization of the signal circuit path and operation parameters of the readout electronics, and supports performance studies for Straw Trackers operated in magnetic field and with different gas mixtures.

We use a model of VMM3a ASIC[8] as the straw readout option in order to evaluate the possibility to use this ASIC for straw tubes. The amplified and shaped signals are compared to a given threshold level and the moment of the signal crossing the threshold is acquired as the measured time $t_{(drift)}$ - the primary value for the track coordinate reconstruction in the real detector.

Drift Time

As can be seen from this figure the data obtained in our Garfield**++** simulations reproduce the drift time data measured in the NA62 experiment. The straw parameters used in simulations are as follows: gas mixture – 70%Ar+30%CO_2, wire and tube diameters – 30 μm and ~10 mm respectively. Thus the calculated drift time coincides with the one obtained experimentally.


MPV from distance to wire

Noises by LTSPICE


To improve the realistic front-end electronics (FEE) model, additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) simulation was added. The equivalent noise charge (ENC) of 500e (VMM3 nominal value), 1000e and 1500e was simulated. Figure below shows how the time measurements are affected with the noise for different threshold levels.

Careful noise simulation can improve real FEE performance studies and help to chose optimal working parameters for better time and spatial resolution.

Conclusion

➡️ The gas gain values calculated by two different methods (microscopic and Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg for a given most probable value of the gas gain) are the same

➡️ Garfield**++** drift time prediction looks reasonable and describes well NA62 measurements for the same type of straw

➡️ A procedure of adding electronics noise to signals is established, the results will be updated accounting for a realistic noise level

➡️ Garfiled**++** with bug fix allows to reliably predict drift time and shape signal, which is important for further modeling of electronics for SPD Straw Tracker.

References

1.The ATLAS TRT collaboration et al 2008 JINST 3 P02014
2.The Beam and detector of the NA62 experiment at CERN, NA62 Collaboration, JINST 12 (2017) 05, P05025
3.Conceptual design of the Spin Physics Detector SPD proto Collaboration • V.M. Abazov (Unlisted) et al. E-Print: 2102.00442
4.Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE) Conceptual Design Report Volume1: The LBNF and DUNE Projects, 10.48550/arXiv.1601.05471
5.Reviews of Modern Physics 12 (1940) 87, Erratum: Rev. Mod. Phys. 13 (1941) 72.
6.Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 768 (2014) 104–111
7.A facility to Search for Hidden Particles (SHiP) at the CERN SPS SHiP Collaboration • M. Anelli (Frascati) et al. E-Print: 1504.04956
8.Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, Volume 1047, February 2023, 167864

Thank you for your attention!