



# **Zero degree calorimeter Conceptual design**

I. Alekseev, A. Golubev, D. Kirin, V. Rusinov, D. Svirida, A. Stavinsky, E. Tarkovsky (ITEP, Moscow)



# ZDC in collider experiments **Outline**



- 0. Introduction:
	- ZDC = neutron, $\gamma$  detection at  $|\eta| \geq 8.5$  (characteristics, status ...).

#### **O** Accelerator physics [pp, pA, AA]:

- Luminosity monitoring/calibration, beam-tuning, IP5 crossing angle.

#### **2** High-energy nuclear physics [pA, AA]:

- Online: minimum bias trigger, vertex.
- Global event characterization: centrality, reaction-plane.
- Absolute luminosity (via EM dissociation).

#### **8** Diffractive physics [pp, pA, AA]:

- $I\!\!P+I\!\!P$ : Tagging of rapidity gaps in central hard diffraction.
- $-\gamma + A$ : Neutron-tagging of central hard QCD  $\gamma$ -production.
- $-\gamma + \gamma$ : Neutron-tagging of QED processes.

#### 4 UHE COSINIC FOY physics [pp.p.A.,A

Calibration of >100-PeV forward hadronic cascade development

+ Local polarimetry

David d'Enterria (CERN, PH-EP)

# Accelerator luminosity monitor





**4 Absolute** luminosity in Electromagnetic dissociation 4

## AA and pA min. bias. trigger and centrality

- 
- No ZDC activity = large rapidity gap. Complements (trigger & offline) ➤ leading proton detectors e.g. in dijet single diffraction:



Bottom line: ZDC reduces to "zero" holes & cracks in CMS (full  $4\pi$ ). Helps all diffractive ( $IP$ -,  $\gamma$ -mediated) analysis in pp, pA, AA.

All UPC measurements at RHIC: ZDC-triggered (neutron tagging) !

## Local polarimetry







# Radiation hardness

- **▶ Design goals:**  $\triangleright$  PHENIX 100 krad
	- $\triangleright$  CMS 20 Grad
- HAMAMATSU SiPM:
	- $\geq 10^{11}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> working
	- $\geq 10^{12}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> practical limit
- $\triangleright$  Number of neutrons going from IP is not large – main problem beam halo etc.



Vs=200 GeV : PHENIX exponential p\_ form

п

9

 $X_F$ 

 $\sqrt{s}$ =200 GeV : PHENIX gaussian p<sub>r</sub> form

 $\sqrt{s}$ =30.6 GeV : ISR

 $\sqrt{s}$ =44.9 GeV : ISR

vs=52.8 GeV : ISR

 $\sqrt{s}$ =62.7 GeV : ISR

 $0.9$ 

 $0.8$ 

 $0.7$ 

 $0.6$ 

 $0.5$ 

 $d\sigma/dx$  (mb)

**Igor Alekseev (ITEP)** 8 or ~ **10<sup>9</sup> year-1cm-2**Size at 13 m ~ 25 cm or  $S \sim 2000 \text{ cm}^2$  $\sigma \sim 0.3$  mb  $L \sim 10^{32}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> c<sup>-1</sup>  $N \sim 60$  kHz  $\sim 30$  cm $^{-2}$  c $^{-1}$ 



- \*Размеры для справок.  $\mathcal{I}$
- 2. А область возможного размещения Zero degree calorimeter.

# Main tasks

- Time tagging of the events for event selection;
- Luminosity measurement;
- Local polarimetry with forward neutrons;
- Spectator neutron tagging.

#### **Requirements:**

- $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  Time resolution 150-200 ps;
- Finally resolution for neutrons 50-60%/ $\sqrt{E} \oplus 8$ -10%;
- Neutron entry point geometry resolution 10 mm;
- Neutron to gamma discrimination.

**Questions:**

- **Do we have enough space ?**
- **Can we obtain the time resolution ?**





- Sampling calorimeter with fine segmentation, 5x5 matrix.
- SiPM light readout
- About 1000 channels
- Optimization based on MC and measurements with prototype is required
- Readout system based on electronics designed for the DANSS neutrino experiment at Kalininskaya NPP, modified to 500 MSPS digitization.

## Time resolution test

Average energy deposit per tile  $\sim$  6 MeV

- Plain: 3x3 scintillator cubes 3x3x3 cm<sup>3</sup> each
- **3X3 mm<sup>2</sup> SENSL 30050 SiPM (2668 pixels)**
- Whitened cubes with direct readout





## Test layout

- DANSS SiPM power and preampifier board
- Two types of digitization:
- $\checkmark$  Tektronix TDS3054B scope with 5 Gsampl/s
- $\checkmark$  DANSS with 125 Msampl/s WFD, but a large dynamic range





### Test results

Hardware trigger on the central cube.

Light collection ~ 120 ph.e./MIP or ~20 ph.e./MeV

Software trigger – amplitude in all 3 cubes in the MIP region



μ

Propagation to calorimeter

- $\Box$  Both methods are working
- Time resolution scales ~ 1/√E
- $\Box$  Aim of 200 ps could be reached at  $\sim$ 160 MeV particle energy





## **Conclusions**



- ZDC calorimeter is a standard device **required** for collider experiment success (tagging, luminosity, local polarimetry)
- $\Box$  ZDCs are installed in ALL operating IPs at RHIC and LHC
- $\Box$  The concept of a sampling calorimeter with plastic scintillator and fine segmentation and SiPM readout is very promising
- $\Box$  The test with cosmic muons demonstrated that the time resolution can be reached
- $\Box$  See details on the energy and space resolution simulations in the next talk

# SiPM bias and preamplifiers





#### 64-channel WFD

- ► 64 channels of 125 MSPS 12 bit flash ADCs
	- 16 channels of 500 MSPS
- ► VME 64x standard 6U single slot width board
- ► 64-bit block transfer support
- ▶ Xilinx Spartan-6 FPGAs for digital signal processing and communication
- ► 4 Gbit of SDRAM for data storage
- ► 1 Gbit Ethernet connection for faster readout
- ► Multitrigger and triggerless operation
- ► Base line subtraction and zero suppression for wave form storage
- ► Selftrigger with prescale for SiPM noise measurements
- ► Internal or external clock operation
- ▶ Deadtimeless operation

Instruments and Experimental Techniques, 2018, Vol. 61, No. 3, pp. 349–354.



#### Performance at DANSS



## (2.2) AA reaction-plane determination

- $\triangleright$  Event-by-event reaction plane obtained from sidewards deflection of spectator neutrons ("bounce-off"):
- $\triangleright$  Elliptic flow directly related to initial parton pressure.





David d'Enterria (CERN, PH-EP)

 $V_1(^{96}_{9})$ 

### (2.3) pA, AA absolute luminosity

Reference process: Electromagnetic dissociation (plus forw./back. neutron emission) computable within ~5%:





#### AuAu: Baltz&White [NIMA 417 (98) 1] Klein&Vogt [PRC 68 (03) 017902] dAu:

TABLE I. Ratios of cross sections for experiment and theory. The values of  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{geom}}$ are in barns.

$\sigma_i$	<b>PHENIX</b>	<b>PHOBOS</b>	<b>BRAHMS</b>	[3]	$[4]$
$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	$\cdots$	$-1.1 - 1.1$	$10.8 \pm 0.5$	11.2
$\sigma_{\text{geom}}$	.	.	.	7.1	7.3
$\sigma_{\rm geom}$ $\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$0.661 \pm 0.014$	$0.658 \pm 0.028$	$0.68 \pm 0.06$	0.67	0.659
$\sigma(1,X)$ $\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$0.117 \pm 0.004$	$0.123 \pm 0.011$	$0.121 \pm 0.009$	0.125	0.139
$\frac{\sigma(1,1)}{\sigma(1,X)}$	$0.345 \pm 0.012$	$0.341 \pm 0.015$	$0.36 \pm 0.02$	0.329	$\cdots$
$\sigma(2,X)$ $\sigma(1,X)$	$0.345 \pm 0.014$	$0.337 \pm 0.015$	$0.35 \pm 0.03$	$\cdots$	0.327
$\sigma(1,1)$ $\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$0.040 \pm 0.002$	$0.042 \pm 0.003$	$0.044 \pm 0.004$	$0.041 \pm 0.002$	$\sim 10^{-1}$



#### David d'Enterria (CERN, PH-EP)

#### LEMIC, CERN, 28/02/2006