

DY studies with SPD. Toroid and/or Solenoid SPD DY team

SPD







C-

C-





	PARP(2)=1.5d0 ! lo	w limit c.m. energy	
-			
	ckin(1)=1.0d0 ! ckin	(1-2) range for m=sqrt(s)	
	ckin(2)=-1.0d0 ! ckir	n(2), - inactive upper limit	
	MSEL=0	! turn OFF global process sel	lection
	MSUB(1)=1	! tum ON q+qb -> ga	mma*/Z0 -> mu+mu- (DrellYan process)
	MSTP(43)=1	! only gamma* includ	ded (DrellYan process)
	MSTP(51)=4	! structure function for	or GRV 94L
	MRPY(1)=35476291	! starting random nur	nber
	MDME(174,1)=0	! Z0 -> dd~	turned OFF
	MDME(175,1)=0	! Z0 -> uu~	tumed OFF
	MDME(176,1)=0	! Z0 -> ss~	turned OFF
	MDME(177,1)=0	! Z0 -> cc~	turned OFF
	MDME(178,1)=0	! Z0 -> bb~	turned OFF
	MDME(179,1)=0	! Z0 -> tt~	tumed OFF
	MDME(180,1)=0	! Z0 -> b'b'~	turned OFF
	MDME(181,1)=0	! Z0 -> t't'~	turned OFF
	MDME(182,1)=1	! Z0 -> e+e-	turned ON
	MDME(183,1)=0	! Z0 ->nu_enu_ebar	turned OFF
	MDME(184,1)=0	! Z0 -> mu+mu-	turned ON
	MDME(185,1)=0	! Z0 -> nu_munu_muba	r turned OFF
	MDME(186,1)=0	! Z0 -> tau+tau- tu	med OFF
	MDME(187,1)=0	! Z0 -> nu_taunu_taubar	turned OFF
	MDME(188,1)=0	! Z0 -> tau'+tau'- tu	med OFF
	MDME(189,1)=0	! Z0 -> nu'_taunu'_tauba	ar turned OFF
		much an efferment that any mile	

mstu(22)=1000 ! max number of errors that are printed

100 K events for both mag. system





-1,0

-0,5

0,0

Y

-1,5

0,5

1,0

1,5



3

Y

SPD

HEP

ЛФВЭ







Toroid: DY in RS

HEP

ЛФВЭ

Solenoid : DY in RS







Solenoid : DY in ECAL



Solenoid : DY in ECAL



 $p_{T \ \mu^*\mu^*} \overset{6}{(\text{GeV/c})}$

 $\phi^{2}_{\mu^{*}\mu^{*}}$ (rad)



Toroid: DY in ECAL

LHEP

ЛФВЭ и

 $\mu^{-}\mu^{+}$









Solenoid : DY in ECAL $\mu^{-}\mu^{+}$ 10000 vertex ECAL 5000 4 6 $m(\mu^*\mu)(GeV/c^2)$ ECAL 0.5 6 $m(\mu^+\mu^-)(GeV/c^2)$



р_{тµ*µ}. (GeV/c)

 $\stackrel{2}{\phi}_{\mu^{*}\mu^{*}}$ (rad)

0



-----ЛФВЭ

Toroid: DY in RS



2

3

LHEP



Solenoid : DY in RS

 $\mu^{-}\mu^{+}$



Solenoid : DY in RS





 $\mu^{-}\mu^{+}$

Toroid: DY in RS

0







LHEP

ЛФВЭ и





Detector	μ+/- (%)		$\mu^+\mu^-$ (%)	
	Solenoid	Toroid	Solenoid	Toroid
ECAL	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.94
RS	0.76	0.82	0.55	0.66







 10^{3}

10³





Solenoid: RS









 10^{3}

 10^{2}

 10^{3}

 10^{2}



DY background studies

DY and min bias events were generated with PYTHIA 6

- 2 proton beams with E=12 GeV
- Only process $q \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
- $m_{\mu\mu}$ >1 GeV

HEP

ЛФВЭ

- Decays of π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , K^{0}_{L} turned on
- 10⁵ events
- $\sigma_{tot} = 8.7 \, nb$ (ratio $\sigma_{tot}(MB) / \sigma_{tot}(DY) \approx 4.5 \cdot 10^6$)
- Only muons produced in volume with L=8 m and D=7 m were taken into account.
- (For $m_{\mu\mu}$ >3 GeV σ_{tot} =0.23 nb)



- PYTHIA 6
- MSEL=2
- 2 proton beams with E=12 GeV
- Decays of $\,\pi^{\pm}$, K^{\pm} , K^{0}_{L} turned on
- 75.10⁶ events
- $\sigma_{tot} = 39.4 \, mb$



Tracking system has to be done with very high efficiency to reduce DY background. OR use hadron absorber















Радиус, мм	Длина, мм	Площадь, мі
150	1600	0,1491
270	1600	0,2684
390	1600	0,3877
500	1600	0,4971
650	6000	3,2309
740	6000	3,6782
830	6000	4,1256
920	6000	4,5729
1010	6000	5,0203
1100	6000	5,4676
1190	6000	5,9150
1280	6000	6,3623
1370	6000	6,8097
1460	6000	7,2570
1550	6000	7,7044
1640	6000	8,1517
1730	6000	8,5991
1820	6000	9,0464



Possible view of Silicon detector



Dimensions of silicon detectors are $63 \times 63 \text{ mm2}$, the sensitive area of detectors is $61 \times 61 \text{ mm2}$, and the thickness of detectors is $300 \mu \text{m}$. The pitch of p+ strips is 95 μm and the pitch n+ is 103 μm .





Fig.d. Preference during of the lighten.









The central coordinate plane. NA62 straw system





Figure 22. Left: one straw chamber is composed of four views (X, Y, U, V) and each view measures one coordinate. Near the middle of each view a few straws are left out forming a free passage for the beam. Right: the straw geometry is based on two double layers per view with sufficient overlap to guarantee at least two straw crossings per view and per track, as needed to solve the left-right ambiguity. The $\pm 3^{\circ}$ angle corresponds to the angular range of tracks produced in kaon decays and detected within the geometrical acceptance of the spectrometer.









Still no azimuthal asymmetries measurements in DY prosesses with collider experimental set-ups.



Drell-Yan studies with SPD.





3 PDFs are needed to describe nucleon structure in collinear approximation

8 PDFs are needed if we want to take into account intrinsic transverse momentum kt of quarks

T-odd

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dx_a dx_b d^2 q_T d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \times$

chiral-odd

where F_{jk}^{i} are the Structure Functions (SFs) connected to the corresponding PDFs. The SFs depend on four variables $P_a \cdot q$, $P_b \cdot q$, q_T and q^2 or on q_T , q^2 and the Bjorken variables of colliding hadrons, x_a , x_b ,

$$x_{a} = \frac{q^{2}}{2P_{a} \cdot q} = \sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{s}}e^{y}, \ x_{b} = \frac{q^{2}}{2P_{b} \cdot q} = \sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{s}}e^{-y}, \ y \text{ is the CM rapidity and}$$



The cross section cannot be measured directly because there is no single beam containing particles with the U, L and T polarization. To measure SFs entering this equation one can use the following procedure: first, to integrate cross section over the azimuthal angle Φs , second, following the SIDIS practice, to measure azimuthal asymmetries of the DY pair's production cross sections. The integration over the azimuthal angle Φ gives:

$$\sigma_{\text{int}} = \frac{d\sigma}{dx_{a} dx_{b} d^{2}q_{T} d\cos\theta} = \frac{\pi\alpha^{2}}{2q^{2}} \times (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) \Big[F_{UU}^{1} + S_{aL} S_{bL} F_{LL}^{1} \\ + \Big| \vec{S}_{aT} \Big| \Big| \vec{S}_{bT} \Big| \Big(\cos(\phi_{S_{b}} - \phi_{S_{a}}) F_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_{b}} - \phi_{S_{a}})} + D\cos(\phi_{S_{a}} + \phi_{S_{b}}) F_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_{a}} + \phi_{S_{b}})} \Big) \Big]$$



Drell-Yan studies with SPD.



The PDFs studies via Fourier analysis to the measured asymmetries. $\sigma^{\rightarrow \uparrow} + \sigma^{\leftarrow \uparrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow \uparrow} - \sigma^{\leftarrow \uparrow} - S_{+} |\bar{S}_{-}||_{\sigma}$

$$\begin{split} A_{UU} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{00}}{\sigma_{int}^{00}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 + D\cos 2\phi A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi}) \\ A_{LU} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow 0} - \sigma^{\leftarrow 0}}{\sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow 0} + \sigma_{int}^{\leftarrow 0}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D\sin 2\phi A_{LU}^{\sin 2\phi} \\ A_{LU} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow 0} - \sigma^{\leftarrow 0}}{\sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow 0} + \sigma_{int}^{\leftarrow 0}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D\sin 2\phi A_{LU}^{\sin 2\phi} \\ A_{LL} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{0 \rightarrow} - \sigma^{0 \leftarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{0 \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{0 \leftarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D\sin 2\phi A_{LL}^{\sin 2\phi} \\ A_{LL} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{0 \rightarrow} - \sigma^{0 \leftarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{0 \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{0 \leftarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D\sin 2\phi A_{LL}^{\sin 2\phi} \\ A_{TU} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{1 o} - \sigma^{0 \leftarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{0 \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{0 \leftarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D\sin 2\phi A_{LL}^{\sin 2\phi} \\ A_{TU} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{1 o} - \sigma^{0 \leftarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{0 \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{0 \leftarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} \left[A_{TU}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} + D\left(A_{TU}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + A_{TU}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_{a}})} \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_{a}})\right) \right] \\ A_{TT} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{0 \uparrow} - \sigma^{0 \downarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{0 \uparrow} + \sigma_{int}^{0 \downarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} \left[A_{TT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \sin(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + D\left(A_{TU}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + A_{TU}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_{a}})} \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_{a}})\right) \right] \\ A_{LL} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma^{\leftarrow -} - \sigma^{\rightarrow -} - \sigma^{\leftarrow +}}{\sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +}}} = \frac{|S_{aL}S_{bL}|}{2\pi} \left[A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + D\left(A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} - D\left(A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})\right) \right] \\ A_{LL} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow \rightarrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow \leftarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow \rightarrow} - \sigma^{\uparrow \leftarrow}}{\sigma_{int}^{\uparrow \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +}}} = \frac{|S_{aL}S_{bL}|}{2\pi} \left[A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + D\left(A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})\right) \right] \\ A_{LL} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow \rightarrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow \leftarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow \rightarrow} - \sigma^{\uparrow \leftarrow}}}{\sigma_{int}^{\uparrow \rightarrow} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\rightarrow +} + \sigma_{int}^{\uparrow \leftarrow}}} = \frac{|S_{aL}S_{bL}|}{2\pi} \left[A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}}) + D\left(A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi + \phi_{S_{a}})\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LT}} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow\uparrow} + \sigma^{\leftarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\leftarrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\rightarrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\leftarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\rightarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\leftarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{aL}} \mid \vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{bT}} \mid}{2\pi} \left[\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos(\phi-\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(\phi-\phi_{S_{b}}) + \mathbf{D} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos(\phi+\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(\phi-\phi_{S_{b}}) \\ + \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos(\phi+\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(\phi+\phi_{S_{b}}) \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}} &\equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\uparrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\downarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\mathrm{int}}^{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{\mid \vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{aT}} \mid \mid \vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{bT}} \mid}{2\pi} \left[\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi-\phi_{S_{a}}-\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(2\phi-\phi_{S_{a}} - \phi_{S_{b}}) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(\phi_{S_{b}}-\phi_{S_{a}})} \cos(\phi_{S_{b}} - \phi_{S_{a}}) \right] \\ &+ \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi-\phi_{S_{a}}+\phi_{S_{b}}) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(4\phi-\phi_{S_{a}}-\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(4\phi-\phi_{S_{a}} - \phi_{S_{b}}) \right) \\ &+ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi-\phi_{S_{a}}+\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(2\phi-\phi_{S_{a}} + \phi_{S_{b}}) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi+\phi_{S_{a}}-\phi_{S_{b}})} \cos(2\phi+\phi_{S_{a}} - \phi_{S_{b}}) \right] \end{split}$$

The azimuthal asymmetries can be calculated as ratios of cross sections differences to the sum of the integrated over Φ cross sections.

The azimuthal distribution of MMT-DY pair's produced in non-polarized hadron collisions, A_{UU} , and azimuthal asymmetries of the cross sections in polarized hadron collisions, A_{jk} , are given by relations shown left.

Applying the Fourier analysis to the measured asymmetries, one can separate each of all ratios entering previous slide. The extraction of different TMD PDFs from those ratios is a task of the global theoretical analysis (a challenge for the theoretical community) since each of the SFs a result of convolutions of different TMD PDFs in the quark transverse momentum space. For this purpose one needs either to assume a factorization of the transverse momentum dependence for each TMD PDFs, having definite mathematic form (usually Gaussian) with some parameters to be fitted (M. Anselmino et al., arXiv:1304.7691 [hep-ph]), or to transfer to impact parameter representation space and to use the Bessel weighted TMD PDFs (Daniel Boer, Leonard Gamberg, Bernhard Musch, Alexei Prokudin, JHEP 1110 (2011) 021, [arXiv:1107.5294])

ЦНЕР ЛФВЭ

Drell-Yan studies with SPD.

Studies of PDFs via integrated/weighted asymmetries.



The set of asymmetries mentioned above gives the access to all eight leading twist TMD PDFs. However, sometimes one can work with integrated asymmetries. Integrated asymmetries are useful for the express analysis of data and checks of expected relations between asymmetries mentioned above. They are also useful for model estimations and determination of required statistics . Let us consider several examples starting from the case when only one of colliding hadrons (for instance, hadron "b") is transversely polarized. In this case the DY cross section can be reduced to the expression:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_{a}dx_{b}d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{T}d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4Q^{2}} \left\{ (1+\cos^{2}\theta) C\left[f_{1}\overline{f}_{1}\right] + \sin^{2}\theta\cos 2\phi C\left[\frac{2(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT})(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT}) - \vec{k}_{aT}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT}}{M_{a}M_{b}}h_{1}^{\perp}\overline{h}_{1}^{\perp}\right] + |S_{bT}|\left[(1+\cos^{2}\theta)\sin(\phi-\phi_{S_{b}}) C\left[\frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT}}{M_{b}}f_{1}\overline{f}_{1T}^{\perp}\right] - \sin^{2}\theta\sin(\phi+\phi_{S_{b}}) C\left[\frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT}}{M_{a}}h_{1}^{\perp}\overline{h}_{1}\right] - \sin^{2}\theta\sin(\phi+\phi_{S_{b}}) C\left[\frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT}}{M_{a}}h_{1}^{\perp}\overline{h}_{1}\right] - \sin^{2}\theta\sin(\phi-\phi_{S_{b}}) C\left[\frac{2(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT})[2(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT})(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT}) - \vec{k}_{aT}\cdot\vec{k}_{bT}] - \vec{k}_{bT}^{2}(\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT})}{2M_{a}M_{b}^{2}}\right] \right\}$$



Drell-Yan studies with SPD.



Studies of PDFs via integrated/weighted asymmetries.

The integrated and additionally q_T -weighted asymmetries $A_{UT}^{w\left[\sin(\phi+\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}\right]}$ and $A_{UT}^{w\left[\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\frac{q_T}{M_N}\right]}$ provide access to the first moments of the Boer-Mulders, $h_{Iq}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ and Sivers, $f_{qIT}^{\perp(1)}(x,k_T^2)$

$$A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \approx 2 \frac{\overline{f}_{\text{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})}{\overline{f}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad ; \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{h_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p})\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p})\overline{f}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx 2 \frac{f_{\text{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad ; \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{h_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p})\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})\overline{f}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx 2 \frac{f_{\text{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad ; \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p})\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})\overline{f}_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \ll x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{T}}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi)}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi)}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\uparrow}} \qquad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi)}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\downarrow}} \approx -\frac{\overline{h}_{\text{lu}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{p\uparrow})h_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})}{f_{\text{lu}}(x_{p\uparrow})} \quad : \quad A_{\text{T}}^{\text{w}\left[\frac{\sin(\phi+\phi)}{\mathbf{M}_{N}}\right]}\right|_{x_{p} \gg x_{p\downarrow}} \approx x_{p} \gg x_{p} \approx x_{p$$

So far the pp-collisions have been considered. At NICA the pd- and dd-collisions will be investigated as well. As it is known from COMPASS experiment, the SIDIS asymmetries on polarized deuterons are consisted with zero. At NICA one can expect that asymmetries

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathbf{w}\left[\sin(\phi\pm\phi_{\mathrm{S}})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{N}}}\right]}_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{D}^{\uparrow}}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathbf{w}\left[\sin(\phi\pm\phi_{\mathrm{S}})\frac{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{N}}}\right]}_{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^{\uparrow}} \text{ also will be consistent with zero (subject of tests).}$$

But asymmetries in $Dp\uparrow$ collisions are expected to be non-zero. In the limiting cases $x_D \gg x_{p\uparrow}$

and $x_D \ll x_{1}$ these asymmetries (accessible only at NICA)

$$\begin{split} & \left. A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathrm{w} \left[\sin(\phi - \phi_{\mathrm{S}}) \frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}}{M_{\mathrm{N}}} \right]} (x_{\mathrm{D}} >> x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) \right|_{\mathrm{Dp}\uparrow \to \mathrm{I}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T} \times} \approx \frac{4 \, \overline{f}_{\mathrm{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) + \, \overline{f}_{\mathrm{ldT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow})}{4 \, \overline{f}_{\mathrm{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) + \, \overline{f}_{\mathrm{ldT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow})}, \\ & \left. A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathrm{w} \left[\sin(\phi - \phi_{\mathrm{S}}) \frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}}{M_{\mathrm{N}}} \right]} (x_{\mathrm{D}} << x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) \right|_{\mathrm{Dp}\uparrow \to \mathrm{I}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T} \times} \approx 2 \frac{4 \, f_{\mathrm{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) + \, f_{\mathrm{ldT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow})}{4 \, f_{\mathrm{luT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow}) + \, f_{\mathrm{ldT}}^{\perp(1)}(x_{\mathrm{p}\uparrow})}, \\ & \left. A_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\mathrm{w} \left[\cos(\phi_{\mathrm{S}b} + \phi_{\mathrm{S}a}) \, q_{\mathrm{T}} \, M \right]} \equiv A_{\mathrm{TT}}^{\mathrm{int}} = \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(\overline{h}_{\mathrm{lq}}(x_{\mathrm{l}}) h_{\mathrm{lq}}(x_{\mathrm{2}}) + (x_{\mathrm{I}} \leftrightarrow x_{\mathrm{2}}) \right)}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(\overline{f}_{\mathrm{lq}}(x_{\mathrm{l}}) \, f_{\mathrm{lq}}(x_{\mathrm{2}}) + (x_{\mathrm{I}} \leftrightarrow x_{\mathrm{2}}) \right)}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \left. A_{UT}^{w \left[\sin(\phi + \phi_S) \frac{q_T}{M_N} \right]}(x_D >> x_{p\uparrow}) \right|_{Dp\uparrow \rightarrow l^+ l^- X} \approx - \frac{[h_{lu}^{\perp(1)}(x_D) + h_{ld}^{\perp(1)}(x_D)][4\overline{h}_{lu}(x_{p\uparrow}) + \overline{h}_{ld}(x_{p\uparrow})]}{[f_{lu}(x_D) + f_{ld}(x_D)][4\overline{f}_{lu}(x_{p\uparrow}) + \overline{f}_{ld}(x_{p\uparrow})]} , \\ & \left. A_{UT}^{w \left[\sin(\phi + \phi_S) \frac{q_T}{M_N} \right]}(x_D << x_{p\uparrow}) \right|_{Dp\uparrow \rightarrow l^+ l^- X} \approx - \frac{[\overline{h}_{lu}^{\perp(1)}(x_D) + \overline{h}_{ld}^{\perp(1)}(x_D)][4\overline{h}_{lu}(x_{p\uparrow}) + h_{ld}(x_{p\uparrow})]}{[\overline{f}_{lu}(x_D) + \overline{f}_{ld}(x_D)][4f_{lu}(x_{p\uparrow}) + h_{ld}(x_{p\uparrow})]} . \end{split}$$

HEP

11 1 11 11